

Clinical Profile, Outcome of Neonatal Sepsis and Statistical Analysis of Sepsis Screening Markers for Early Diagnosis

Dr Kalpana.L(MD paediatrics)

Professor, Department Of Paediatrics, Meenakshi Medical College Hospital And Research Institute, MAHER University, Tamilnadu, India.

Abstract:

Introduction: neonatal septicemia is a major cause of morbidity and mortality, overall incidence varies between 1-8 cases/1000 live births, It accounts for 50% of neonatal mortality, clinical features are non specific and early diagnosis of sepsis poses great difficulties. Though the positive blood culture is diagnostic but it is time consuming and has success rate of only 40%, so it is highly necessary to analyse early indirect septic marker to diagnose septicemia in early stage.

Aim & objectives.: 1. To analyse the clinical profile, predisposing factors and outcome of neonatal sepsis 2. To analyse statistical significance of various septic markers.

Materials & methods: The study was descriptive prospective study conducted in meenakshi medical college hospital over 1 year period from June 2014 to June 2015. 100 neonates with strong suspicion of sepsis were included in this study.

Results: The male female ratio was nearly 2:1, majority of them were low birth weight and prematurity 68% and 60% respectively, c-reactive protein had highest sensitivity(88.2%), specificity(87.8%) and positive predictive value(78.9%) followed by m-ESR sensitivity(70.6%), specificity(84.8%) and positive predictive value(70.5%), case fatality rate was 28%. Out of 28 deaths premature(64.2%) and low birth weight babies(64.2%) constitute major group. Higher mortality observed in gram negative sepsis (64.25%).

Conclusion: There were male preponderance, mortality was high in early onset, gram negative sepsis. As an individual test c-reactive protein had highest sensitivity, specificity and positive predictive value. Combination of 3 tests(CRP, m-ESR, toxic granulation) increase specificity and positive predictive value but decrease the sensitivity. **keywords:** CRP, m-esr, sepsis,

I. Introduction

Systemic infection in first month of life have remained as major cause of mortality and morbidity despite the development of broad spectrum antimicrobial agents. Overall incidence varies between 1-8 cases/1000 live births[1]. It accounts for 50% of neonatal mortality, clinical features are non specific and symptomatology is mimicked by various other disorders affecting the newborn[2]. Early diagnosis of sepsis poses great difficulties. Though the positive blood culture is diagnostic but it is time consuming that demands a well equipped laboratory and has success rate of only 40%, therefore blood culture has its own limitation.

Early treatment with rationale antibiotic therapy is possible with the help of certain indirect markers such as leucopenia, toxic granules, band form to neutrophil ratio, mESR, c reactive protein. These investigations are collectively known as sepsis screening. The early diagnosis of neonatal sepsis by clinical examination is vital. In the presence of predisposing factors, early clinical suspicion coupled with sepsis screening will detect neonatal sepsis earlier, which will enable the clinician to treat the infection timely and accurately, which in turn will reduce the neonatal morbidity and mortality

II. Materials and Methods

The study was conducted in rural teaching institute over a period of one year from June 2014 to June 2015. 100 neonates with strong clinical feature suggestive of sepsis in the form of, irritability, lethargy, refusal of feeds, vomiting, abdomen distension, skin rashes, apnea, respiratory distress, with or without fever were included in this study. Neonates admitted in our hospital from outpatient department and neonates born in our hospital were included in this study group. After admission the study group subjected to detailed history, thorough clinical examination. Sepsis screening done including complete blood count, peripheral smear for toxic granules and band cell to neutrophil ratio, m-ESR and c reactive protein. Bio chemical investigations- blood sugar, calcium, electrolytes, microbiological investigations including non enteric culture also were done. CXR was taken when indicated. The clinical progression and outcome were monitored. Outcome measure noted were death due to illness and recovery. Recovery was defined as neonates who showed improvement in the form of good activity, feeding, therm stability. Sensitivity, specificity, and positive predictive value of each sepsis markers were analysed.

III. Results and Analysis

100 neonates were included in this study. Out of them 66 (66%) were male and 34 (34%) were female, male babies were more affected than female babies, the male female ratio was 2:1. Early onset sepsis was present in 68 cases (68%) and late onset sepsis in 32 babies (32%). Majority of them 68 (68%) had birth weight of <2.5 kg while 32 (32%) had >2.5 kg, There were 60 (60%) preterm and 40 (40%) term in the study population as shown in table 1

Major neonatal risk factors were low birth weight and prematurity 68% and 60% respectively. Maternal risk factors observed were poor maternal hygiene (58%), prolonged rupture of membrane >18 hrs (30%), Home delivery (24%) as shown in table 2. Common clinical manifestations of neonatal sepsis were refusal of feeds (56%), temperature abnormality (46%), sclerema (42%), jaundice (42%), pallor (36%), lethargy (24%), rash (20%) and convulsions (16%) shown in table 3. Out of 100 neonates only 34 (34%) were bacteriologically positive. Among, gram positive and gram negative organisms constitute 28 (28%) and 6 (6%) respectively. Remaining 66 (66%) were bacteriologically negative.

Statistical analysis of each sepsis screening marker were analysed as shown in table 4 and comparison of sensitivity, specificity, and positive predictive value of each test showed in table 5, c-reactive protein had highest sensitivity (88.2%), specificity (87.8%) and positive predictive value (78.9%) followed by m-ESR sensitivity (70.6%), specificity (84.8%) and positive predictive value (70.5%), specificity and positive predictive value were increased at the cost of sensitivity. When combination of tests done, the best combination was c-reactive protein + mESR + toxic granulation. Outcome measure noted were recovery and death due to illness during hospital stay. Case fatality rate was 28%, Out of 28 deaths premature (64.2%) and low birth weight babies (64.2%) constitute major group. Higher mortality observed in gram negative sepsis (64.25).

Table 1: Distribution of cases according to Sex, age of onset of septicemia, birthweight, Maturity

Sex	Male	Female	Total
No. of cases	66	34	100
Age of onset	< 7 days	>7 days	Total
No. of cases	68	32	100
Birth weight	≤ 2500 gm	>2500 gm	Total
No. of cases	68	32	100
Maturity (Gestational age)	Preterm	Fullterm	Total
No. of cases	60	40	100

Table 2 predisposing factors

predisposing factors	No of cases
Low birth weight	68 (68%)
prematurity	60 (60%)
Poor maternal health and hygiene of genitals	58 (58%)
Prolonged rupture of membrane >18 hrs	30 (30%)
Home delivery	24 (24%)
Resuscitation after birth	16 (16%)
Pre mature rupture of membrane <37 wks	14 (14%)
H/o intrapartem maternal infection	8 (8%)
Bad obstetric history	6 (6%)
Umbilical discharge	6 (6%)
No obvious factors detected	14 (14%)

Table-3 Clinical profile of neonatal sepsis

Clinical features	No of cases	%
Refusal of feeds	56	56%
Temperature abnormality	46	46%
sclerema	44	44%
jaundice	42	42%
pallor	36	36%
lethargy	24	24%
rash	20	20%
convulsion	16	16%
Abdomen distension	20	20%
irritability	8	8%
pustules	6	6%

Table-4 Investigation profile

Variants	Culture		Total(100)
	Bacteriologically positive(34)	Bacteriologically negative(66)	
WBC count			
<5000/cmm	16 (47%)	22(33.3%)	38
>5000/cmm	18(53%)	44(66.6%)	62
Toxic granulation			
Present	24(70.5%)	24(36.3%)	48
Absent	10(29.4%)	42(63.6%)	52
Bandcell/neutrophil ratio			
B/N \geq 0.2	30(88.2%)	24(36.3%)	54
B/N<0.2	4(11.8%)	42(63.6%)	46
Micro ESR			
\geq 15mm/1 hour	24(70.5%)	10(15.1%)	34
<15mm/1 hour	10(27.4%)	56(84.8%)	66
C-reactive protein			
positive	30(88.2%)	8(12.2%)	38
negative	4(11.8%)	58 (87.8%)	62

Table-5 Comparison of sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value of each test and combination of two or more test

Test	sensitivity	specificity	Positive predictive value
Wbc count<5000cmm	47%	66.6%	42.1%
B/N \geq 0.2	88.2%	63.6%	62.4%
Toxic granulation	70.5%	63.6%	50%
m-ESR>15mm/hour	70.5%	84.4%	70.5%
CRP	88.2%	87.8%	78.9%
Combination of tests			
CRP +toxic granulation	58.5%	90.9%	76.9%
CRP +m-ESR	64.6%	93.9%	84.6%
Toxic granulation+m-ESR	58.8%	87.8%	71.6%
CRP+mESR+toxic granulation	47.4%	93.9%	88.8%

CRP- c reactive protein

Table 6 Variants affecting outcome of neonatal sepsis

variants	outcome		
	Recovered (72)	Expired (28)	Total (100)
Maturity			
Preterm	42(58.5%)	18(64.2%)	60
Term	30(41.5%)	10(35.7%)	40
Birth wt			
<2.5kg	50(69.4%)	18(64.2%)	68
\geq 2.5kg	22(30.5%)	10(35.7%)	32
Age of onset			
\leq 7days	48(66.6%)	20(71.4%)	68
>7days	24(33.3%)	8(28.6%)	32
Gram staining			
Gram positive	4(5.5%)	2(7.1%)	6
Gram negative	10(13.8%)	18(64.2%)	28
Bacteriologically negative	58(80.5%)	8(28.5%)	66

IV. Discussion

The neonate is extremely vulnerable to infection in first 28 days of life, death and morbidity during this period are very high. Sepsis accounts for 25 -40% of all neonatal death, hence early diagnosis of sepsis is important to influence outcome. Neonatal sepsis commonly affect male neonates, male preponderance (66%) documented in this study comparable with Nelson et.al2 ,Piyush gupta et.al3 and Khatua et.al4. Majority of them(68%) presented with early onset sepsis consisted with other studies. In this study increased incidence of early onset sepsis may be due to maternal risk factors. In 86% of cases predisposing factors were present, common neonatal factors observed were prematurity(60%) and low birthweight(68%). Which is consistent with other conventional studies[1 2]. Commonly observed clinical feature were refusal of feeds(56%), temperature abnormality(47%), sclerema(45%), jaundice(41%) pallor(36%), lethargy(24%) rash(21%) and convulsion(17%) as noted in other studies like khatua et.al4, Agarwal et.al5 and Anand et.al6. All the studies showed that clinical feature of neonatal sepsis were non specific[1 2]. In this study among culture positive cases, gram negative organisms constitute 82.3%, which is comparable with khatua et.al4, Sharma et.al7, James overall et.al8

A battery of indirect markers of sepsis when collectively studied provide an extremely reliable index of neonatal sepsis much earlier and serve as a useful guide for initiating antibiotic therapy, in this study leucopenia had poor positive predictive value (PPV) (42.1%). The sensitivity (70.56%), specificity (63.65%), and PPV (50%) of toxic granulation and B/N ratio were comparable with Naredo et al.⁹ and study done by Xanthou¹⁰. m-ESR had 70.5% sensitivity, 84.8% sensitivity, 70.5% PPV were higher than leucopenia and B/N ratio. C reactive protein had highest sensitivity (88.2%), specificity (87.8%) and PPV (78.95%) among all septic markers, Squire et al.¹¹ and Singh et al.¹² were observed the same in their studies. combination of 3 test had low sensitivity (47%), high specificity (93.9%) and PPV (88.8%) which is consistent with Mishra et al.¹³ and Singh et al.¹². The best combination of septic marker in this study was c-reactive protein and m-ESR. The case fatality rate was 28% in this study. Mortality was high in premature (64.2%) and low birth weight (64.2%) neonates consistent with Khauta et al.⁴ and Mishra et al.¹³, may be attributed to poor defence mechanisms. In this study mortality was high in early onset and gram negative sepsis, Khauta et al.⁴ and Bhatia et al.¹⁴ observed the same findings in their study. higher fatality in gram negative sepsis probably due to the emergence of drug resistance for commonly used antibiotics

V. Conclusion

Clinical features of neonatal sepsis are nonspecific and vague. There were male preponderance, mortality was high in early onset, gram negative sepsis. As an individual test c-reactive protein had highest sensitivity, specificity and positive predictive value and is a sensitive and responsive indicator of neonatal sepsis. Combination of 3 tests (CRP, m-ESR, toxic granulation) increase specificity and positive predictive value but decrease the sensitivity.

References

- [1]. Karen MP. Bacterial and fungal infections. In: John P Cloherty, Eric C Elchenwald Ann RS, Manual of Neonatal Care. 5th edition. Philadelphia: Lippincott; 2004p. 287-312
- [2]. Barbara J Stoll. Infection of neonatal infant. In: Richara EB, Robert MK, Hal BJ. Editors, Nelson text book of pediatrics. 17th edition. Philadelphia: Saunders; 2004p 630-639
- [3]. Gupta Piyush, Murali MV, Faridi MMA, Caul PB, Ramachandran V G, V Talwar. Clinical profile of Klebsiella septicemia in neonates. Indian Journal of Pediatrics 1993;69:565-572.
- [4]. Khauta SP, Das AK, Chatterjee BD, Khauta S, Ghose B, Saha A. Neonatal Septicemia. The Indian Journal of Pediatrics 1986;53:509-514.
- [5]. Agarwal M, Chaturvedi P, Dey SK, Narang P, Coagulase negative staphylococcal septicemia in newborn. Indian Pediatrics 1990;27:163-169.
- [6]. Anand NK, Gupta AK, Man Mohan, Lamba IMS, Gupta R, Shrivastava L. Coagulase negative septicemia in newborn. Indian Pediatrics 1991;28:1241-1248
- [7]. Sharma PP, Halder D, Dutta A, Dutta R, Bhatnagar, Bali A, Kumari S. Bacteriological profile of neonatal septicemia. Indian Pediatrics 1987;24:1011-1017
- [8]. Overall James C. Jr neonatal bacterial meningitis. The journal of Pediatrics 1970;76:499-511.
- [9]. Namdeo UK, Singh HP, Rajput VJ, Shrivastava KK, Namdeo S, Bacteriological profile of neonatal septicemia. Indian Pediatrics 1987;24:53-57
- [10]. Xanthou M. Leucocyte blood picture in healthy fullterm and premature babies during neonatal period. Archives of Disease in Childhood 1970;45:242-249.
- [11]. Squire Edward, Fiavara Blaise, Todd James. Diagnosis of neonatal infection: Hematological and Pathological findings in fatal and non fatal cases. Pediatrics 1979;64:60-64.
- [12]. Singh M, Naran A, Bhakoo N. Evaluation of sepsis seen in diagnosis of neonatal sepsis. Indian Pediatrics 1987;24:39-43.
- [13]. Mishra PK, Rakesh Kumar, Malik PK, Mehra P, Awasthi S. Simple hematological test for diagnosis of neonatal sepsis. Indian Pediatrics 1989;26:156-160.
- [14]. Bhatia BD, Chugh SP, Narang P, Singh MN, Bacterial flora in mothers and babies with reference to causative agent in neonatal sepsis. Indian Pediatrics 1989;26:455-459.